# **Pregroups with Length Functions**

Faisal H. Nesayef

Departpent of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, University of Kirkuk, Kirkuk, Iraq

*Abstract:* Stallings [6] in 1971 introduced the concept of a pregroup. Subsequent work has been dose by Hoare [2], Nesayef [5], Chiswell [1], and many others. Five axioms were originally introduced by Stallings [6], namely P1, P2, P3, P4, and P5. It has been proved in [5] that P3 is a consequence of the other axioms.

Keywords: Archimedean elements, Defined product of elements, Length Functions, Pregroup, Universal Group.

## I. INTRODUCTION

In section one, we introduced the main concept and definition which we needed in later sections. In section two, we proved that some axioms are equivalent to the other ones.

We will also investigate some basic properties of Pregroups. Finally we show that the universal group of a Pregroup has a length function given by Lyndon [3]

## **II. LENGTH FUNCTION**

**Definition 2.1:** A length function 1 1 an a group G, is a function given each element x of G a real number |x|, such that for all x, y,  $z \in G$ , the following axioms are satisfied

A1' |e| = 0, e is the identity elements of G.

$$A2 |x^{-1}| = |x|$$

 $A4 d(x,y) < d(y,z) \Rightarrow d(x,y) = d(x,z)$ , where  $d(x,y) = \frac{1}{2} (|x| + |y| - |xy^{-1}|)$ 

Lyndon showed that A4 is equivalent to  $d(x, y) \ge \min \{ d(y, z), d(x, z) \}$  and to

 $d(y,z), d(x,z) \ge m \Longrightarrow d(x,z) \ge m$ .

A1', A2 and A4 imply  $|x| \ge d(x, y) = d(y, x) \ge 0$ 

Assuming, A2 and A4 only, it is easy to show that:

- i.  $e d(x, y) \ge |e|$
- ii.  $|x| \ge |e|$
- iii.  $d(x, y) \le |x| \frac{1}{2} |e|$ , see [2]

A3 states that  $d(x, y) \ge 0$  is deductible from A1', A2 and A1' is a weaker version of the axiom:

A1 |x| = 0 if and only if x = 1 in G.

The following results are introduced by Lyndon [3].

(1) 
$$d(xy, y) + d(x, y^{-1}) = |y|$$

(2)  $d(x, y^{-1}) + d(y, z^{-1}) \le |y|$  Implies  $|x y z| \le |x| - |y| + |z|$ 

# International Journal of Mathematics and Physical Sciences Research ISSN 2348-5736 (Online) Vol. 4, Issue 1, pp: (126-130), Month: April 2016 - September 2016, Available at: <u>www.researchpublish.com</u>

(3) 
$$d(x, y^{-1}) + d(y, z^{-1}) \le |y|$$
 Implies  $d(xy, z^{-1}) = d(y, z^{-1})$ 

(4) 
$$d(x, y) + d(x^{-1}, y^{-1}) \ge |x| = |y|$$
 Implies  $|(xy^{-1})^2| \le |xy^{-1}|)$ 

It follows from (2) that for any  $x, y \in G$ ,  $d(x, y) = |y| - d(x y^{-1}, y^{-1}) \le |y|$  by A3.

Since d(x, y) = d(y, x) we get  $d(x, y) \le \min\{|x|, |y|\}$ 

As state that  $d(x, y) + d(x^{-1}, y^{-1}) > |x| = y \Rightarrow x = y$ 

**Definition 2.2:** A non-trivial element g of a group G is called non-Archimedean if  $|g^2| \le |g|$ 

**Definition 2.3:** Let G be a group with length function an element  $x \neq 1$  in g is called Archimedean if  $|x| \leq |x^2|$ .

The following Axioms and results have added by Lyndon and others

$$A0 \quad x \neq 1 \quad \Longrightarrow \ |x| \ < \ |x^2|$$

C0 d(x, y) is always an integer

C1  $x \neq 1$ ,  $|x^2| \leq |x|$  implies |x| is odd

- C2 For no x is  $|x^2| = |x| + 1$
- C3 if |x| is odd then  $|x^2| \ge |x|$
- C1' if |x| is even and  $|x| \neq 0$ , then  $|x^2| > |x|$
- N0  $|x^2| \le |x|$  implies  $x^2 = 1$  is  $x = x^{-1}$

 $N1^* G$  is general by  $\{x \in G : |x| \le 1\}$ 

### 3. Pregroups:

Stallings [6] introduced the fallowing construction of a Pregroup.

**Definition 3.1:** A Pregroup is a set P containing an element called the identity element of P, denoted by 1, a subset D of  $P \times P$  and a mapping  $D \rightarrow P$ , when  $(x, y) \rightarrow x y$  together with a map  $i : P \rightarrow P$  when  $i(x) = x^{-1}$ , satisfying the following axioms. (We say that x y is defined if  $(x, y) \in D$ , i.e.  $x y \in P$ ).

P1. For all  $x \in P$ , 1x and x1 are defined and 1x = x1 = x.

P2 . For all  $x \in P$  ,  $x^{-1} x = x^{-1} x = 1$ 

P3. For all x,  $y \in P$  if x y is defined, then  $y^{-1}x^{-1}$  is defined and  $(x y)^{-1} = y^{-1}x^{-1}$ .

P4. Suppose that x, y,  $z \in P$ . If x y and y z are defined, then x (y z) is defined, is which case x (y z) = (x y) z.

P5. If w, x, y,  $z \in P$ , and if w x, x y, y z, are all defined them either w (x y) or (x y) z is defined.

**Proposition 3.2:** Let P be a pregroup and a ,  $x \in P$ . If a x is defined, them  $a^{-1}(ax)$  is defined and  $a^{-1}(ax) = x$ .

**Proof:** By P2, we have  $a^{-1}a$  is defined and equals 1. Thus by P4 and P1, we have  $a^{-1}(ax)$  is defined and  $a^{-1}(ax) = (a^{-1}a)x = x$ .

The following propositions prove that P3 is a consequence of the other axioms.

**Proposition 3.3:** Let P be a pregroup and x, y,  $\in$  P. If x y is defined them y<sup>-1</sup> x<sup>-1</sup> is defined and (x y)<sup>-1</sup> = y<sup>-1</sup> x<sup>-1</sup>

**Proof:** Suppose x y is defined . Then x  $y \in P$  and  $(x y)^{-1} \in P$ .

Consider: 
$$x^{-1}$$
, x y, (x y)<sup>-1</sup>:

 $x^{\,-1}\,(\,x\;y\,)$  and (  $x\;y\,)\,(\,x\;y\,)^{\,-1}\,$  are defined .

Since  $x^{-1}[(x y)(x y)^{-1}]$  is defined and equals to  $x^{-1}$  then by P4, we have :

 $[x^{-1}(xy)](xy)^{-1}$  is also defined and  $=x^{-1}[(xy)(xy)^{-1}] = x^{-1}$ 

By P4 again : y ( x y )  $^{-1} = x ^{-1}$ 

Now consider :  $y^{-1}$ , y,  $(x y)^{-1}$ :  $y^{-1}$  y and y (x y) are both defined .

Since  $[y^{-1} y](x y)^{-1}$  is defined and  $= (x y)^{-1}$ .

Then by P4:  $y^{-1}$  [ y ( x y )  $^{-1}$  ] is also defined and = ( x y )  $^{-1}$ .

**Definition 3.4:** Let P be Pregroup. A word in P is an n-tuple:  $(x_1...x_4)$  of elements of P, for some  $n \ge 1$ , n is called the **length** of the word.

**Definition 3.5 :** A word  $(x_1 \dots x_n)$  is said to be reduced if  $x_i x_{i+1}$  is not defined for any  $1 \le i \le n-1$ .

Let  $P_0 = \{ x \in P : x \text{ y and } y x \text{ are defined for all } y \in P \}$ . We call  $P_0$  the **core** of P.

**Proposition 3.6:** P<sub>o</sub> is a subgroup.

**Proof:** Suppose  $x \in P_o$ . By the definition of  $P_o$ : x y, y x are defined for all  $y \in P$  and so  $y^{-1} x^{-1}$  and  $y^{-1}x$  are both defined, so  $x^{-1} \in P$ .

Suppose x y  $\in P_o$ . x y , y z and x ( y z ) are all defined for all z  $\in P$ .

By P4: (x y) z defined for all  $z \in P_o$ .

Definition 3.7: Let P be any Pregroup. The Universal group U (P) is the set of all equivalence classes of reduced words.

**Theorem 3.6**  $| : U(P) \rightarrow P$  satisfies the following axiom:

A1' |1| = 0

 $A2 |g| = |g^{-1}| , g \in U(P)$ 

A4' d (g, h),  $d(h, k) > s \ge 0 \rightarrow d(g, k) \ge s$ , where s is half an integer and

 $2d(g,h) = |g| + |h| - |gh^{-1}|$ , for all  $h, k \in U(P)$ .

**Proof** A1', A2 are obvious, and clearly  $d(g, h) \ge 0$  so we shall prove A4'

Let  $g, h, k \in U(P)$  the result is trivial if any one of |g|, |h|, |k| is zero, because

 $d(g,h) \ge 0$  so let  $g = x_1 \dots x_n$ ,  $|g| = n \ge 1$ 

 $h = y_1 \dots y_m$ ,  $|h| = m \ge 1$ , and  $k = z_1 \dots z_\ell$ ,  $|k| = \ell \ge 1$ , be reduced, where  $x_1, y_1$ , and  $z_1 \notin A_0$ Suppose d(g, h), d(h, k) > s

Case 1 s is an integer

 $gh^{-1} = x_1 \dots (x_{n-s} \ a_s \ y_{m-s}^{-1}) \dots \ y_1^{-1}, \text{ such that } a_{s+1} = x_{n-s} \ a_s \ y_{m-s}^{-1} \text{ is defined , where}$  $a_s = x_{n-s+1} \dots x_n \ y_m^{-1} \dots \ y_{m-s+1}^{-1} \text{ and } a_0 = 1.$ Similarly  $hk^{-1} = y_1 \dots y_{m-s} \ b_s \ z_{\ell-s}^{-1} \dots \ z_1^{-1}$ , such that  $b_{s+1} = y_{m-s} \ b_s \ z_{\ell-s}^{-1}$  is defined , where  $b_s = y_{m-s+1} \dots \ y_m \ z_{\ell}^{-1} \dots \ z_{\ell-s+1}^{-1} \text{ and } b_0 = 1$  $gk^{-1} = x_1 \dots \ x_n \ y_m^{-1} \dots \ y_{m-s}^{-1} \ y_{m-s} \dots \ y_m \ z_{\ell}^{-1} \dots \dots \ z_1^{-1}$  $= x_1 \dots \ (x_{n-s} \dots \ x_n \ y_m^{-1} \dots \ y_{m-s}^{-1}) \ (y_{m-s} \dots \ y_m \ z_{\ell}^{-1} \dots \ z_{\ell-s}^{-1}) \dots \ z_1^{-1}$ 

# International Journal of Mathematics and Physical Sciences Research ISSN 2348-5736 (Online) Vol. 4, Issue 1, pp: (126-130), Month: April 2016 - September 2016, Available at: <u>www.researchpublish.com</u>

Then 
$$|gk^{-1}| \le n - s - 1 + 1 + 1 + \ell - s - 1 \le n + \ell - 2s$$
 i.e  $d(g,k) \ge s$ 

Suppose  $(g,k), d(h,k) > s = r - \frac{1}{2}, r \ge 1$ 

 $gk^{-1} = x_1 \dots x_{n-r} a_r y_{m-r}^{-1} \dots y_1^{-1}$ , where  $a_r$  is defined and equals

$$x_{n-r+1} \dots x_n y_m^{-1} \dots y_{m-r+1}^{-1}, \text{ and either } x_{n-r} a_r \text{ is defined}$$
(1)

Or 
$$a_r y_{m-r}^{-1}$$
 is defined (2)

Similarly  $hk^{-1} = y_1 \dots y_{n-r} b_r z_{\ell-r}^{-1} \dots z_1^{-1}$ ,  $b_r$  is defined and

$$b_r = y_{m-r+1} \dots y_m \ z_{\ell}^{-1} \ \dots \ z_{\ell-r+1}^{-1}$$
, and either  $y_{m-r} \ b_r$  is defined (3)

Or 
$$b_r z_{\ell-r}^{-1}$$
 is defined (4)

Suppose (2) and (3) hold:

If  $a_r = (n_{n-r+1} a_{r-1})y_{m-r+1}^{-1}$ , then apply P5 on :  $(x_{n-r+1} a_{r-1})^{-1}$ ,  $(x_{n-r+1} a_{r-1})y_{m-r+1}^{-1}$ ,  $y_{m-r}^{-1} y_{m-r} b_r$ 

Since the product of the first three terms is not defined, then

$$(x_{n-r+1} a_{r-1})y_{m-r+1}^{-1}, y_{m-r}^{-1} y_{m-r} b_r \text{ is defined , i.e. } a_r b_r \text{ is defined .}$$
  
If  $a_r x_{n-r+1} (a_{r-1} y_{m-r+1}^{-1})$ , then apply P5 on  $y_{n-r+1}^{-1}, y_{n-r+1} (a_{r-1} y_{m-r+1}^{-1}), y_{m-r}^{-1} y_{m-r} b_r$   
Since  $a_{r-1} y_{m-r+1}^{-1} y_{m-r}^{-1}$  is not defined, then  $x_{n-r+1}(a_{r-1} y_{m-r+1}^{-1})y_{m-r}^{-1} y_{m-r} b_r$  is defined, i.e.  $a_r b_r$  is defined  
Put  $a_r b_r = c_r$ ,

$$gk^{-1} = x_1 \dots x_n y_m^{-1} \dots y_{m-r+1}^{-1} y_{m-r+1} \dots y_m z_{\ell}^{-1} \dots z_1^{-1}$$

$$= x_1 \dots x_{n-r} \dots a_r b_r z_{\ell-r}^{-1} \dots z_1^{-1} = x_1 \dots x_{n-r} \dots c_r z_{\ell-r}^{-1} \dots z_1^{-1}$$
i.e.  $|gk^{-1}| \le n - r + 1 + \ell - r = n + \ell - 2r + 1$   
Then  $d(g,k) \ge r - \frac{1}{2} = s$   
If (1) holds, then  $gk^{-1} = x_r \dots (x_{n-r} a_r) b_r z_{\ell-r}^{-1} \dots z_1^{-1}$ , i.e.  
 $|gk^{-1}| \le n - r + 1 + \ell - r$ . thus  $d(g,k) \ge r - \frac{1}{2} = s$   
If (4) holds, then  $gk^{-1} = x_1 \dots x_{n-r} a_r (b_r z_{\ell-r}^{-1}) z_1^{-1}$ , i.e.  
 $|gk^{-1}| \le n - r + 1 + \ell - r$ , so again  $d(g,k) \ge r - \frac{1}{2} = s$   
Therefore  $At'$  is extincted

Therefore A4' is satisfied

#### **III. CONCLUSION**

This paper shows that the Universal group of a pregroup can be occupied with a length function defined by Lyndon [3]. Therefore it will have all the combinatorial group properties, which are open for investigations.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We would like to express our thanks to the editors, reviewers and the Research Publish Journal team for their co-operation Page | 129

## International Journal of Mathematics and Physical Sciences Research ISSN 2348-5736 (Online)

Vol. 4, Issue 1, pp: (126-130), Month: April 2016 - September 2016, Available at: www.researchpublish.com

#### REFERENCES

- Chiswell, I. M; Length Function and Pregroups, Proceedings of Edinburgh Mathematical Society (1987), 30, 57 67.
- [2] Hoare, A. H. M.; Nielson methods in groups with length functions, Math. Scand, (1981), 153 164.
- [3] Lyndon, R. C; Length Functions in Groups, Math. Scand, 12, (1963), 209 234.
- [4] Nesayef, F.H.; Decomposition of Pregroups, International Journal of Scientific & Engineering Research, Volume 5, Issue 1, January-2014, (1035-1037).
- [5] Nesayef, F. H.; Groups generated by element of length zero and one, Ph. D. Thesis, University of Birmingham, UK, 1983.
- [6] Stallings, J. R.; Group theory and three dimensional manifolds, New Haven. (12), University Press, 1971.